## STATE OF MICHIGAN

## COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of YARITZA MARIE GALVAN and ELESIA GARCIA GALVAN, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

UNPUBLISHED February 11, 2000

v

HECTAR GARCIA,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

STEVEN CLARK.

Respondent.

No. 218432 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 94-320722

Before: O'Connell, P.J., and Meter and T. G. Hicks\*, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant ("respondent") appeals as of right from a family court order terminating his parental rights to a minor child, Elesia Garcia Galvan, under MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g), and (h); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g), and (h). We affirm.

The family court did not clearly err in finding that §§ 19b(3)(c)(i), (g), and (h) were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); In re Miller, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Because only one statutory ground is required in order to terminate parental rights, In re McIntyre, 192 Mich App 47, 50; 480 NW2d 293 (1991), it is not necessary to determine whether termination was also warranted under § 19b(3)(a)(ii).

<sup>\*</sup> Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

Respondent failed to show that termination of his parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Although respondent argues that the court erred in failing to consider placing the child with a relative in lieu of terminating his parental rights, the record does not indicate that respondent had a relative who was willing to care for the child during his remaining period of incarceration. Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondent's parental rights to the child. *Id*.

Affirmed.

/s/ Peter D. O'Connell /s/ Patrick M. Meter /s/ Timothy G. Hicks